BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, DC 20554

In the Matter of:	
Comcast Cable Communications, LLC On behalf of its subsidiaries and affiliates	
For a Determination of Effective Competition in:	
Beachwood, NJ-Area Franchise Areas	CSR 8650-E MB Docket No.12-159
East Windsor, NJ-Area Franchise Areas,	CSR-8651-E MB Docket No. 12-160
Hazlet, NJ (NJ0405),	CSR-8652-E MB Docket No. 12-161
Chatham, NJ-Area Franchise Areas,	CSR 8657-E MB Docket No. 12-166
Buena, NJ-Area Franchise Areas,	CSR-8656-E MB Docket No. 12-165
Delaware, NJ-Area Franchise Areas,	CSR-8668-E MB Docket No. 12-180
Berkeley Heights, NJ-Area Franchise Areas,	CSR-8671-E MB Docket No. 12-183
Bellmawr, NJ-Area Franchise Areas,	CSR-8675-E MB Docket No. 12-190
North Arlington, NJ (NJ0298) &) Rutherford, NJ (NJ0294),)	CSR-8649-E MB Docket No. 12-152
Bordentown (City), NJ (NJ0511) &) Bordentown (Township), NJ (NJ0461),)	CSR-8655-E MB Docket No. 12-164
In the Matter of Docket Established for Monitoring Recent Verizon Wireless Transactions	WC Docket 12-234

To: Secretary, FCC
Chief, Media Bureau
Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau

OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS

Comcast Cable Communications, LLC, on behalf of its affiliates and subsidiaries ("Comcast"), opposes the Motion to Dismiss ("Motion") filed by the New Jersey Division of Rate Counsel ("Rate Counsel") in the above-referenced proceedings. Rate Counsel's Motion is wholly without merit. Accordingly, Comcast respectfully requests that the Commission proceed without further delay to an analysis of the underlying Petitions for a Determination of Effective Competition ("Petitions").

I. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY.

In June 2012, Comcast filed the above-captioned petitions seeking a Commission determination that its cable systems face "effective competition" in certain New Jersey franchise areas (the "Franchise Areas"). On February 8, 2013, Rate Counsel filed its Motion.

Rate Counsel now argues that these Petitions should be summarily dismissed because they rely upon franchise-specific subscriber numbers provided by Verizon Communications.

Rate Counsel claims that such subscriber numbers constitute "competitively sensitive data" in violation of both the Commission's decision authorizing the transfer of spectrum from SpectrumCo LLC, which included Comcast, to Verizon Wireless, as well as the Justice Department's related Consent Decree. Rate Counsel contends that "the subject Petitions must be refiled without Verizon's competitively sensitive data." Rate Counsel also asserts that

¹ See Applications of Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless and SpectrumCo LLC and Cox TMI, LLC for Consent to Assign AWS-1 Licenses, Memorandum Opinion & Order, 27 FCC Rcd. 10698 (2012) ("Spectrum Decision"); United States v. Verizon Commc'ns Inc., No. 1:12-cv-01354-RMC, [Proposed] Final Judgment, ECF No. 2-1 (D.D.C. filed Aug. 16, 2012) ("Consent Decree").

² Motion at 2; see also id. at 5-7.

Comcast should be required to refile its petitions with updated subscriber data and household data because Hurricane Sandy "resulted in substantial losses of homes which directly impact both subscriber data and the number of household [sic] used in the application of the Competing Provider Test."

Rate Counsel's effort to suggest that the Consent Decree is intended to deny Comcast the right to demonstrate effective competition by use of Verizon subscriber numbers, or to trump Verizon's obligation to disclose its subscriber numbers to Comcast for that limited purpose, is wholly unfounded for a variety of reasons. Chief among those is that the Motion wholly ignores the fact that the Consent Decree clearly defines the categories of "competitively sensitive" Verizon information that are subject to its prohibitions, and the raw subscriber numbers for Verizon's multichannel video programming service do not meet that definition. Moreover, as is typical in these situations, Comcast has agreed to limit the disclosure of this information to outside counsel and inside counsel involved in this proceeding, thereby ensuring that this information could not have any effect on Comcast's conduct in the marketplace.

Rate Counsel's challenge to Comcast's Petitions based on Hurricane Sandy is similarly without support. The Motion references Hurricane Sandy's devastation, but fails to provide any explanation (let alone franchise-specific details) as to why the storm's impact compels the Commission to summarily dismiss Comcast's Petitions.

³ *Id.* at 2; *see also id.* at 7-8.

⁴ *Id.* at 3-7.

II. VERIZON'S PROVISION OF AGGREGATE SUBSCRIBER DATA FOR PURPOSES OF THE COMMISSION'S EFFECTIVE COMPETITION RULES DID NOT VIOLATE THE CONSENT DECREE.

The Consent Decree prohibits Verizon from "disclos[ing] competitively sensitive VZT information to any Cable Defendant," including Comcast.⁵ The Consent Decree, however, clearly defines "Competitively Sensitive VZT Information" as "any non-public information relating to the price, terms, availability, or marketing plans of VZT services." Subscriber numbers are conspicuously absent from – and do not fit within – this list of information that is deemed "competitively sensitive" for purposes of the Consent Decree. And notably, Rate Counsel's Motion fails in any way to grapple with the actual language to show how Verizon's sharing of subscriber numbers in any way relates to the "price, terms, availability, or marketing plans of VZT services." That is hardly surprising. The subscriber data at issue here is aggregated on a franchise-wide basis – without any additional detail.⁷ And the fact that Verizon operates in those franchise areas is not "non-public" information, given that Verizon operates pursuant to publicly-available franchise agreements, or in some states such as New Jersey, in communities that are listed on its publicly available state franchise applications, and given that its presence within a Franchise Area is readily apparent to the public.

⁵ Consent Decree at 15.

⁶ *Id.* at 4 (emphasis added).

⁷ Although the Commission's Competing Provider Test does require a showing of availability of MVPD services in a franchise area, Comcast does not use Verizon's subscriber data for such a purpose. Instead, Comcast relies on the pervasive presence of DirecTV and Dish to demonstrate the "availability" of competing MVPD service. Comcast uses the Verizon subscriber tally only to show that the cumulative penetration of competing MPVDs exceeds the 15 percent requirement under the second prong of the Competing Provider Test. The Competing Provider Test distinguishes between evidence of "availability" under the first prong and numerical evidence of actual subscribers under the second prong. *See* 47 U.S.C. § 543(*l*)(1)(B)(i) and (ii); 47 C.F.R. § 76.905(b)(2)(i) and (ii).

Moreover, the notion that the Consent Decree was intended to preclude Comcast from relying on, or Verizon from complying with, the Commission's effective competition rules, is unsupportable. Leaving everything else aside, Verizon's disclosure of aggregate subscriber numbers to Comcast for use in a petition for determination of effective competition in no way implicates the concerns the Consent Decree was intended to address. The Justice Department's Competitive Impact Statement accompanying the Consent Decree explains that the disclosure restrictions:

ensure[] that no competitively sensitive information passes between the Cable Defendants and Verizon's consumer wireline business, in order to prevent collusion or other lessening of the intensity of the competitive rivalry between FiOS and Cable Defendants.⁹

Verizon's disclosure of its subscriber numbers to Comcast, as required by the Commission rules, was for use exclusively in Comcast's petition for a determination of effective competition.

Indeed, as is typical in these situations, Comcast expressly agreed that the information would only be disclosed to outside counsel and inside counsel involved in this matter – no business people with responsibility for competitive decision-making have received or will receive this information. Accordingly, there is no plausible way in which the sharing of this information

⁸ See 47 C.F.R. § 76.907(c) ("If the evidence establishing effective competition is not otherwise available, cable operators may request from a competitor information regarding the competitor's reach and number of subscribers. A competitor must respond to such request within 15 days. Such responses maybe limited to numerical totals.").

⁹ United States v. Verizon Commc'ns Inc., No. 1:12-cv-01354-RMC, Competitive Impact Statement, ECF No. 3 (D.D.C. filed Aug. 16, 2012).

¹⁰ Verizon's disclosure of its subscriber data was expressly conditioned on use of the data solely for purposes of demonstrating effective competition. In particular, a condition of obtaining that subscriber data was that: "Comcast agrees that the information will be used by this law firm [*i.e.*, Davis Wright Tremaine ("DWT")] solely for the purposes of preparing, filing and prosecuting petitions for effective competition at the FCC for Comcast's system(s) serving the Communities and will not be disclosed by DWT except to in-house Comcast personnel who are

could facilitate "collusion" or otherwise "lessen" the intensity of competitive rivalry between Comcast and Verizon. To the contrary, such sharing may actually facilitate *more* competition between the companies by removing regulatory impediments in the marketplace. In short, the Commission should reject Rate Counsel's argument on this score out of hand.¹¹

III. RATE COUNSEL HAS FAILED TO DEMONSTRATE WHY HURRICANE SANDY'S IMPACT COMPELS DISMISSAL OF COMCAST'S PETITIONS.

Rate Counsel contends that the Commission should now dismiss the Petitions for failing to account for the intervening impact of Hurricane Sandy. Rate Counsel fails, however, to provide the Commission with any legal or factual basis for this unusual request. In fact, the two paragraphs the Rate Counsel dedicates to this argument contain no evidence whatsoever as to how competitor penetration figures were impacted by Hurricane Sandy. The Motion simply questions the "reliability of both the household and the satellite penetration data," without offering any actual evidence – or even an argument – that the data Comcast submitted is no longer reliable.

The Commission's procedural rules entitle Rate Counsel to submit a substantive opposition to Comcast's Petitions. If Rate Counsel has specific evidence demonstrating the data

actively engaged in the evaluation, preparation or prosecution of an effective competition petition and who are not involved in competitive decision-making." Attachment A.

¹¹ Beyond all of this, the Consent Decree was entered into on August 16, 2012, while Comcast's Petitions were filed in June 2012, and thus was not even in effect as of the date Comcast filed its Petitions. In fact, Verizon actually provided the subscriber data at issue to Comcast in late 2011 – even before any of the transactions leading to the Consent Decree occurred. However, to avoid delays in future effective competition proceedings where Verizon data is used, the Commission should address and reject Rate Counsel's Consent Decree argument.

¹² Motion at 2, 7-8.

¹³ *Id.* at 8.

Comcast has submitted is no longer reliable in specific franchise areas, it should submit that evidence in a timely opposition and allow Comcast to file rebuttal evidence. The Commission should not, however, summarily dismiss Comcast's long-pending Petitions (thereby further delaying a substantive resolution) based solely on Rate Counsel's unsupported questioning of the "reliability" of Comcast's submissions.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Comcast respectfully requests that the Commission promptly deny both Rate Counsel's Motion to Dismiss and its request that "the comment period be stayed pending Media Bureau action." 14 Rate Counsel has already delayed this proceeding for many months based on earlier challenges and extensions. Given the time that has transpired since Comcast submitted its Petitions in June 2012, it is incumbent on Rate Counsel to submit any substantive opposition to the Petitions without further delay.

Respectfully submitted,

Comcast Cable Communications, LLC on behalf of its subsidiaries and affiliates

Wesley R. Heppler Steven J. Horvitz Frederick W. Giroux

Davis Wright Tremaine LLP

1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20006

(202) 973-4200

Its Attorneys

February 19, 2013

¹⁴ See id, at 3, 8 n, 15.

Attachment A

From:

Edgington, M Eric (Eric) <eric.edgington@verizon.com>

Sent:

Wednesday, November 02, 2011 5:27 PM

To:

Giroux, Fred

Subject:

RE: Request for Verizon Subscriber Figures For Certain New Jersey Communities

Attachments:

Community & Sub #s.pdf

Fred,

Subject to the below terms, attached is the Verizon subscriber information for those NJ communities listed in the attachment to your original e-mail in which Verizon currently has subscribers.

As we discussed, you will notify me should you making any filing under note number 3 below.

Eric Edgington

Associate General Counsel

Ofc. 813.483.2618

Fax. 813.204.8870

From: Giroux, Fred [mailto:FredGiroux@dwt.com]

Sent: Friday, October 07, 2011 8:58 AM

To: Edgington, M Eric (Eric)

Subject: Request for Verlzon Subscriber Figures For Certain New Jersey Communities

Eric:

As we discussed yesterday, Comcast is seeking to demonstrated the presence of "effective competition" in certain New Jersey communities. A list of the relevant communities (the "Communities") is included in the attached spreadsheet. We hereby request pursuant to Section 76.907(c) of the FCC's Rules that Verizon provide us with the number of video subscribers that it serves in each of the Communities as soon as possible, but in no event later than 15 days from the date of this request.

In counting subscribers, each separately billed household should be counted as a subscriber. In accordance with FCC Rule Section 76.905(c), however, where multiple dwelling units ("MDUs") are billed as a single customer, each individual unit in that MDU should be counted as a separate household subscriber.

Based on our prior discussions Comcast is agreeable to the following:

1. Verizon will provide undersigned counsel at DWT the information regarding Verizon's cable television subscribers in the Communities.

- Comcast agrees that the information will be used by this law firm solely for the purposes of preparing, filing and
 prosecuting petitions for effective competition at the FCC for Comcast's system(s) serving the Communities and
 will not be disclosed by DWT except to in-house Comcast personnel who are actively engaged in the evaluation,
 preparation or prosecution of an effective competition petition and who are not involved in competitive
 decision-making.
- 3. Comcast agrees that if it submits any of the subscriber information provided by Verizon with respect to the Communities, Comcast will request confidential treatment of such information from the FCC.

Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions regarding this request.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

Regards,

Fred Giroux | Davis Wright Tremaine LLP
1919 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite 800 | Washington, DC 20008
Tel: (202) 973-4204 | Fax: (202) 973-4404
Email: fredgiroux@dwt.com | Website: www.dwt.com

Anchorage | Bellevue | Los Angeles | New York | Portland | San Francisco | Seattle | Shanghai | Washington, D.C.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Paulette Humphries, do hereby certify on this 19th day of February, 2013 that a true and correct copy of the foregoing "OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS" has been sent via U.S. mail, postage prepaid to the following:

Judith A. Allen

Clerk

Delaware Township

PO Box 500

Sergeantsville, NJ 08557

Michele Hovan

Clerk

Hopewell Borough

PO Box 128

Hopewell, NJ 08525

Kathleen Norcia

Clerk

Lawrence Township

PO Box 6006

Lawrence Township, NJ 08648

Lora L. Olsen

Clerk

West Amwell Township 150 Rocktown-Lamb Rd

Lambertville, NJ 08530

Elizabeth A. Mastropasqua, RMC

Borough Clerk

Beachwood Borough 1600 Pinewald Road

Beachwood, NJ 08722

Ellie Rogalski, RMC/CMC

Borough Clerk

Island Heights Borough

East End & Vant Sant Avenue

Island Heights, NJ 08732

Kim Macellaro

Clerk

Ewing Township 2 Jake Garzio Dr.

Ewing, NJ 08628

Michael Markulec

Clerk

Hopewill Township

201 Washington Crossing-Pennington

Road

Titusville, NJ 08560

Elizabeth Sterling

Clerk

Pennington Borough 30 North Main Street

Pennington, NJ 08534

J. Mark Mutter, RMC

Township Clerk

Toms River Township

33 Washington Street

Toms River, NJ 08753

Bernadette Dugan

Borough Clerk

Lakehurst Borough

5 Union Avenue

Lakehurst, NJ 08733

Ms. Charleen Santora

Borough Clerk/Administrator

Berlin Borough

59 S. White Horse Pike

Berlin, NJ 08009

Elizabeth Silvestri, RMC/CMC Borough Clerk South Toms River Borough 144 Mill Street South Toms River, NJ 08757

Mr. Charles Sauter Borough Clerk Bellmawr Borough PO Box 368 21 East Browning Road Bellmawr, NJ 08099

Ms. Catherine Underwood Township Clerk Berlin Township 135 Route 73 South West Berlin, NJ 08091

Ms. Holly Mannel Borough Clerk Collingswood Borough 678 Haddon Avenue Collingswood, NJ 08108

Ms. Pat Frontino Borough Clerk Glassboro Borough 1 S. Main St. Glassboro, NJ 08028

Mr. Paul J. Tuliano, Jr.
Township Clerk/Administrator
Hainesport Township
PO Box 477
One Hainesport Center
Hainesport, NJ 08036

Mr. Mark J. MacIntosh Borough Clerk/Deputy Medford Lakes Borough Cabin Circle Medford Lakes, NJ 08055 Ms. Jenai Johnson Borough Clerk/Administrator Clementon Borough 101 Gibbsboro Road Clementon, NJ 08021

Ms. Dina Zawadski Township Clerk Deptford Township 1011 Cooper St. Deptford, NJ 08096

Ms. Kathleen M. Jentsch City Clerk Gloucester City 512 Monmouth St. Gloucester City, NJ 08030

Ms. Teri Degolia Township Clerk Maple Shade Township 200 Stiles Ave. PO Box 368 Maple Shade, NJ 08052

Ms. Terry Shannon Borough Clerk Mount Ephraim Borough 121 South black Horse Pike Mt. Ephraim, NJ 08059

Mr. Thomas Cardis Pine Hill Borough 45 W. 7th Avenue Pine Hill, NJ 08021

Ms. Jeanette Schelberg Township Clerk Voorhees Township 2400 Voorhees Town Center Voorhees, NJ 08043 Ms. Bonnie Taft Borough Clerk Oaklyn Borough 500 White Horse Pike Oaklyn, NJ 08107

Ms. Judy O'Donnell Borough Clerk Pitman Borough 110 S. Broadway Pitman, NJ 08071

Ms. Amy Leso Acting Township West Deptford Township 400 Crown Point Rd. PO Box 89 Thorofare, NJ 08086

Ms. Janet Pizzi Borough Clerk/Administrator Clerk Woodbury Heights Borough 500 Elm Avenue Woodbury, NJ 08097

Ms. Ana Minkoff Acting Township Clerk Berkeley Heights Township 29 Park Avenue Berkeley Heights, NJ 07922

Ms. Edith L. Merkel Township Clerk Clark Township 430 Westfield Avenue Clark, NJ 07066

Ms. Eleanor McGovern
Borough Clerk & Administrator
Fanwood Borough
75 North Martine Avenue
Fanwood, NJ 07023

Mr. Karl Kinkler City Administrator/Clerk Woodbury City PO Box 180 33 Delaware Street Woodbury, NJ 08096

Mr. Charles J. Sauter III Borough Clerk Woodlynne Borough 200 Cooper Avenue Woodlynne, NJ 08107

Ms. Lisa O'Neill Acting Borough Clerk Caldwell Borough 1 Provost Square Caldwell, NJ 07006

Ms. Francine T. Paserchia Borough Clerk & Administrator Essex Fells Borough 255 Roseland Avenue PO Box 38 Essex Fells, NJ 07201

Mr. Michael Rohal Borough Clerk Glen Ridge Borough 825 Bloomfield Ave. PO Box 66 Glen Ridge, NJ 07028

Mr. Joseph C. Bodek City Clerk Linden City City Hall 301 N. Wood Ave. Linden, NJ 07036

Ms. Elizabeth J. Fritzen Township Clerk Maplewood Township 574 Valley Street Maplewood, NJ 07040 Ms. Janet Valisavljevic Township Clerk Hillside Township Liberty and Hillside Aves. Hillside, NJ 07205

Mr. Glenn Turtletaub Township Clerk Livingston Township 357 S. Livingston Ave. Livingston, NJ 07039

Ms. Joanne M. Monarque Township Clerk Millburn Township 375 Millburn Avenue Millburn, NJ 07041

Ms. Martha DeJesus Borough Clerk Mountainside Borough 1385 Route 22 Mountainside, NJ 07092

Mr. Thomas Kaczynski
Borough Clerk & Administrator
Roseland Borough
19 Harrison Ave.
Roseland, NJ 07068

Ms. Linda Donnelly Township Clerk Springfield Township 100 Mountain Avenue Springfield, NJ 07081

Ms. Susan F. Neale Acting Township Clerk Verona Township 600 Bloomfield Ave. Verona, NJ 07044 Ms. Linda S. Wanat Township Clerk Montclair Township 205 Claremont Avenue Montclair, NJ 07042

Mr. Wendi B. Barry Borough Clerk New Providence Borough 360 Elkwood Ave. New Providence, NJ 07974

Ms. Bozena Lacina Township Clerk Scotch Plains Township 430 Park Ave. Scotch Plains, NJ 07076

Mr. David L. Hughes City Clerk Summit City 512 Springfield Ave. Summit, NJ 07901

Mr. Jock H. Watkins Township Administrator & Clerk West Caldwell Township 30 Clinton Road West Caldwell, NJ 07006

Ms. Claire J. Gray Town Clerk Westfield Town 425 East Broad Street Westfield, NJ 07090

Ms. Karen J. Carnevale Township Clerk West Orange Township 66 Main Street West Orange, NJ 07052 Ms. Patricia D. Ryan City Clerk Bordentown City 324 Farnsworth Ave. Bordentown, NJ 08505

Ms. Maryann Coraluzzo Borough Clerk Buena Borough 616 Central Ave. Minotola, NJ 08341

Ms. Ruth Dawson Township Clerk Lawrence Township 357 Main St. PO Box 697 Cedarville, NJ 08311

Ms. Maureen Abdill Township Clerk Pilesgrove Township 1180 Route 40 Pilesgrove, NJ 08098

Mr. Tom Smith City Clerk and Administrator Salem City 17 New Market St. Salem, NJ 08079

Ms. Nancy Kearns Township Clerk South Harrison Township PO Box 113 644 Harrisonville Road Harrisonville, NJ 08039

Mr. Roy Spoltore Township Clerk and Administrator Upper Deerfield Township 1325 Route 77 Seabrook, NJ 08302 Ms. Colleen Eckert Township Clerk Bordentown Township 1 Municipal Drive Bordentown, NJ 08505

Ms. Linda Gonzales Township Clerk Buena Vista Township 890 Harding Highway PO Box 605 Buena, NJ 08310

Mrs. Susan McCormick Township Clerk Monroe Township 125 Virginia Ave. Williamstown, NJ 08094

Mr. Steve Wymbs Acting Township Clerk Pittsgrove Township 989 Centeron Rd. Pittsgrove, NJ 08318

Mr. Ronald L. Campbell Borough Clerk Shiloh Borough Box 349 Shiloh, NJ 08079

Ms. Tanya Goodwin Borough Clerk Swedesboro Borough 1500 Kings Highway PO Box 56 Swedesboro, NJ 08085

Ms. Virginia L. Chandler Township Clerk Waterford Township 2131 Auburn Ave. Atco, NJ 08004 Ms. Jane DiBella Township Clerk and Administrator Woolwich Township 120 Village Green Drive Woolwich, NJ 08085

Mr. Gregory LaConte Township Clerk Chatham Township Municipal Building 58 Meyersville Road Chatham, NJ 07928

Ms. Judith A. Allen Township Clerk Delaware Township 570 Rosemont Ringoes Road PO Box 500 Sergeantsville, NJ 08557

Ms. Maureen Masssey Borough Clerk Mendham Borough The Phoenix House 2 West Main Street Mendham, NJ 07945-1213

Ms. Margaret J. Gould Borough Clerk and Administrator Peapack Gladstone Borough 1 School Street Peapack, NJ 07977

Ms. Linda S. McDermott Township Clerk Princeton Township 400 Witherspoon Street Princeton, NJ 08540

Ms. Rebecca Newman Borough Clerk Rocky Hill Borough 15 Montgomery Avenue PO Box 188 Rocky Hill, NJ 08553 Ms. Ella M. Ruta Township Clerk Union Township 140 Perryville Road Hampton, NJ 08827

Ms. Carol Isemann Township Clerk and Administrator Chester Township 1 Parker Rd. Chester, NJ 07930

Ms. Christine Mazzucco Township Clerk Long Hill Township 915 Valley Road Gillette, NJ 07933

Ms. Ann Carlson Acting Township Clerk Mendham Township 2 West Main Street PO Box 520 Brookside, NJ 07926

Mr. Robert W. Bruschi Acting Borough Clerk Princeton Borough 1 Monument Dr. PO Box 390 Princeton, NJ 08542-0390

Ms. Vita Mekovetz Township Clerk and Administrator Readington Township 509 Route 523 Whitehouse Station, NJ 08889

Ms. Roberta Brassard Township Clerk Tewksbury Township 169 Old Turnpike Road Township Building Califon, NJ 07830 Cindy Dye Township Clerk 16 Lanning Blvd. East Windsor, NJ 08520

Debra Sopronyi Borough Clerk 148 North Main Street Hightstown, NJ 08520

Krystyna Bieracka-Olejnik, RMC/CMC Borough Clerk 33 North Rochdale Avenue Roosevelt, New Jersey 08555

Terrance Wall Administrator/Clerk Borough of North Arlington 214 Ridge Road North Arlington, NJ 07031

Evelyn Grandi Township Clerk Township of Hazlet 1776 Union Avenue Hazlet, NJ 07730

Steven Broeckeart
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Sandra Bohinski Borough Clerk 51 Main Street Helmetta, NJ 08828

Carol J. Torres Township Clerk 641 Plainsboro Road Plainsboro, NJ 08536

Sharon L. Young Township Clerk 271 Clarksville Road West Windsor, NJ 08550

Margaret Scanlon Municipal Clerk Borough of Rutherford 176 Park Avenue Rutherford, NJ 07070

William Lake, Chief, Media Bureau Federal Communications Commission Office of the Secretary 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary Federal Communications Commission Office of the Secretary 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554 Verizon Legal Department Attn: M. Eric Edgington Maggie McCready 610 E. Zack Street 5th Floor Tampa, FL 33602 Stefanie A. Brand, Esq.
Jose Rivera-Benitez, Esq.
Christopher J. White, Esq.
Maria Novas-Ruiz, Esq.
Division of Rate Counsel
31 Clinton Street, 11th Floor
P.O. Box 46005
Newark, NJ 07101

Paulette Humphries